UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

:

: Case No. 2:99 CR 108-1

:

EDDIE CARRASQUILLO

v.

ORDER

The Report and Recommendation of the United States

Magistrate Judge was filed August 28, 2018. After careful

review of the file and the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, no objections having been filed by any party,

this Court ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's recommendations in

full for the reasons stated in the Report.

A district judge must make a *de novo* determination of those portions of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation to which an objection is made. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1); Perez-Rubio v. Wyckoff, 718 F. Supp. 217, 227 (S.D.N.Y. 1989). The district judge may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Id*.

The motion under 28 U.S.C. §2255 to vacate, set aside or correct a sentence(Doc. 130) is DENIED and the government's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED. (Doc. 133.) In addition, the Motion for Evidentiary Hearing (Doc. 135) and the two Motions to Appoint Counsel (Docs. 129, 136) are also DENIED.

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b), a certificate of

appealability is DENIED because the petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of denial of a federal right.

Moreover, the petitioner's grounds for relief do not present issues which are debatable among jurists of reasons, which could have been resolved differently, or which deserve further proceedings. Lucidore v, New York State Div. Of Parole, 209 F.3d 107, 112 (2d Cir. 2000).

It is further certified that any appeal would not be taken in good faith, and permission to proceed in forma pauperis is therefore DENIED. See 28 U.S.C. \$ 1915(a)(3).

THIS CASE IS CLOSED.

Dated at Burlington, in the District of Vermont, this 10th day of December, 2018.

/s/ William K. Sessions III
William K. Sessions III
U.S. District Court Judge